

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/06/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/075,224	02/15/2002	Dong-Ha Shim	030681-359	7856 ·
21839	7590 08/06/2003			
BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P			EXAMINER	
POST OFFIC ALEXANDR	E BOX 1404 IA, VA 22313-1404		MANDALA, VICTOR A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2826	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/075,224	SHIM, DONG-HA			
		Examin r	Art Unit			
		Victor A Mandala Jr.	2826			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover she t with th	correspondenc address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro c, cause the application to become ABANDO!	timely filed lays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 121	<u>May 2003</u> .				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under					
· · _	ion of Claims					
4)[Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.					
5\□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 13-17</u> is/are rejected.					
· —	Claim(s) <u>9-12 and 18</u> is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
•	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 February 2002</u> is/are	e: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected	to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		` ,			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disapp	proved by the Examiner.			
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•				
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti					
_ a) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ovisional application has been re	eceived.			
Attachmen		p. 101.0, 0.100, 00 0.00, 33 12	with Vi 121.			
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/075,224 Page 2

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant argues that the prior art and the reasons for obviousness, in Paper No. 9, are invalid and lacking prima facie. Examiner has considered Applicant's arguments and agrees.

The rejections in Paper No. 9 are withdrawn and claims 1-12 and newly added claims 13-18 will be further examined.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the stoppers on the flexure and the static elements must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. The stoppers are formed on middle portions of the flexure elements are critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled

Application/Control Number: 10/075,224 Page 3

Art Unit: 2826

by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). Claim 4 teaches the stoppers being on the flexure elements and claim 2, which claim 4 depends upon, teaches the stoppers being on the static or substrate. The disclosure does not teach having the

4. Claim16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. The stoppers are formed on middle portions of the flexure elements are critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). Claim 16 teaches the stoppers being on the flexure elements and claim 14, which claim 16 depends upon, teaches the stoppers being on the static or substrate. The disclosure does not teach having the stoppers on the flexure and the static elements.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

stoppers on the flexure and the static elements.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 5, 13, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S.

Patent No. 6,486,425 Seki.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,224

Art Unit: 2826

5. Referring to claim 1, a MEMS device having flexible elements with nonlinear restoring

force comprising: a substrate, (Figure 1B #11a); support elements, (Figure 1B #21), formed on the substrate, (Figure 1B #11a); a moveable element, (Figure 1B #25), floated over the substrate.

Page 4

(Figure 1B #11a), by the support elements, (Figure 1B #21), so as to move; flexure elements,

(Figure 1B #22), for elastically suspending the moveable element, (Figure 1B #25), on the

support elements, (Figure 1B #21), a driving element, (Figure 1B #12), for moving the

moveable element, (Figure 1B #25); repulsive elements, (Figure 1B #24 & Col. 5 Lines 45-57),

for increasing the repulsive force of the flexure elements, (Figure 1B #22), when the flexure

elements, (Figure 1B #22), supporting the moveable element, (Figure 1B #21), are resiliently

deformed by a predetermined amount during movement of the moveable element, (Figure 1B

#25).

6. Referring to claim 5, the MEMS device, wherein the moveable element, (Figure 1B #25), moves in a direction perpendicular to the plane of the substrate, (Figure 1B #11a), (Seki shows the moveable element to move in the perpendicular to the plane of the substrate in Figures 4D, which show the on state of the circuit).

Referring to claim 13, a MEMS device having flexure elements, (Figure 1B #22), with non-linear restoring force, comprising: a substrate, (Figure 1B #11a); support elements, (Figure 1B #21), located on the substrate, (Figure 1B #11a); a moveable element, (Figure 1B #22), suspended over the substrate, (Figure 1B #11a), by the support elements, (Figure 1B #21), so as to be moveable; flexure elements, (Figure 1B #22), for elastically suspending the moveable element, (Figure 1B #25), on the support elements, (Figure 1B #21); repulsive elements, (Figure 1B #24), for increasing the repulsive force of the flexure elements, (Figure 1B #22), when the

Art Unit: 2826

flexure elements, (Figure 1B #22), supporting the moveable element, (Figure 1B #25), are resiliently deformed by a predetermined amount in a direction, while permitting the moveable element, (Figure 1B #25), to further move in said direction subject to said increase repulsive force, (Col. 5 Lines 45-47).

8. Referring to claim 17, a MEMS device, wherein the moveable element, (Figure 1B #25), moves in a direction perpendicular to the plane of the substrate, (Figure 1B #11a), (Seki shows the moveable element to move in the perpendicular to the plane of the substrate in Figures 4D, which show the on state of the circuit).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-3, 6-8, and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,486,425 Seki.

- 9. Referring to claim 2, the MEMS device, wherein the repulsive elements include stoppers, (Figure 1B #24), having a predetermined size positioned between the flexure elements, (Figure 1B #22), and static elements, (Figure 1B #15), fixed on the substrate, (Figure 1B #11a), opposite to the flexure elements, (Figure 1B #22).
- ** Seki discloses the claimed invention except for the stoppers being mounted on the substrate. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Art Unit: 2826

invention was made to mount the stoppers onto the substrate instead of having them mounted on the flexures, since it has been held that a mere reversal of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. In re Einstein, 8 USPQ 167.

- 10. Referring to claim 3, the MEMS device, wherein the stoppers, (Figure 1B #24), are positioned at portions of the static elements, (Figure 1B #15), opposite to the flexure elements, (Figure 1B #22), so that middle portions of the flexure elements, (Figure 1B #22), contact stoppers, (Figure 1B #24), when the flexure elements, (Figure 1B #22), are resiliently deformed by a predetermined amount, (Seki shows the moveable element to move in the perpendicular to the plane of the substrate in Figures 4D, which show the on state of the circuit).
- ** Seki discloses the claimed invention except for the stoppers being mounted on the substrate. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to mount the stoppers onto the substrate instead of having them mounted on the flexures, since it has been held that a mere reversal of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. In re Einstein, 8 USPQ 167.
- 11. Referring to claim 6, the MEMS device, wherein the moveable element, (Figure 1B #25), moves in a direction perpendicular to the plane of the substrate, (Figure 1B #11a), (Seki shows the moveable element to move in the perpendicular to the plane of the substrate in Figures 4D, which show the on state of the circuit).
- 12. Referring to claim 7, the MEMS device, wherein the moveable element, (Figure 1B #25), moves in a direction perpendicular to the plane of the substrate, (Figure 1B #11a), (Seki shows the moveable element to move in the perpendicular to the plane of the substrate in Figures 4D, which show the on state of the circuit).

Application/Control Number: 10/075,224

Art Unit: 2826

13. Referring to claim 8, the MEMS device, wherein the moveable element, (Figure 1B #25), moves in a direction perpendicular to the plane of the substrate, (Figure 1B #11a), (Seki shows the moveable element to move in the perpendicular to the plane of the substrate in Figures 4D, which show the on state of the circuit).

Page 7

- 14. Referring to claim 14, a MEMS device, wherein the repulsive elements, (Figure 1B #24), include stoppers, (Figure 1B #24), having a predetermined size and are positioned between the flexure elements, (Figure 1B #22), and static elements, (Figure 1B #15), fixed on the substrate, (Figure 1B #11a), opposite to the flexure elements, (Figure 1B #22).
- ** Seki discloses the claimed invention except for the stoppers being mounted on the substrate. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to mount the stoppers onto the substrate instead of having them mounted on the flexures, since it has been held that a mere reversal of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. In re Einstein, 8 USPQ 167.
- 15. Referring to claim 15, a MEMS device, wherein the stoppers, (Figure 1B #24), are positioned at portions of the static elements, (Figure 1B #15), opposite to the flexure elements, (Figure 1B #22), so that the middle portions of the flexure elements, (Figure 1B #22), contact the stoppers, (Figure 1B #24), when the flexure elements, (Figure 1B #22), are resiliently deformed by a predetermined amount.
- ** Seki discloses the claimed invention except for the stoppers being mounted on the substrate. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to mount the stoppers onto the substrate instead of having them mounted on

Application/Control Number: 10/075,224 Page 8

Art Unit: 2826

the flexures, since it has been held that a mere reversal of the essential working parts of a device

involves only routine skill in the art. In re Einstein, 8 USPQ 167.

Allowable Subject Matter

16. Claims 9-12 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Victor A Mandala Jr. whose telephone number is (703) 308-6560.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8am till 6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (703) 308-6601. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular

communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

VAMJ July 23, 2003

NATHAN J. KYNN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800